Instructions for Sabbatical Application  
Academic Year 2006-2007

SABBATICAL LEAVES
Sabbatical leaves are designed to give the faculty member time for further professional development through research, private study, travel, writing, etc. Sabbatical leaves may not be used for work toward an advanced degree. The sabbatical leave is a privilege, awarded after a competitive peer-review process.

The normal sabbatical award is one semester at full pay. The Citadel Foundation may provide support for a few awards so that the full academic year salary is covered. Nevertheless, such funding cannot be guaranteed.

PROCESS
The award process includes the following steps:
- Development of Application
- Endorsement of Department Head/Dean
- Submission of Application to Faculty Sabbaticals Committee
- Review by Faculty Sabbaticals Committee
- Interview with Faculty Sabbaticals Committee
- Decision by Provost

TIMETABLE
- Monday, October 3, 2004 – Call for sabbaticals applications.
- Thursday, October 13, 2005 – Sabbaticals Committee Round Table Symposium, The Regimental Commander’s Riverview Room, 11AM
- Friday, November 4, 2005 – Completed electronic application form, including Department Head/Dean endorsement, is due by email to the Sabbaticals Committee’s Dawgmail account sabbaticals@Citadel.edu by 5 PM. Also, two paper copies of the application are due to the Daniel Library office by 5:00 PM.
- Monday, November 14, 2005 – Applicant interviews with the Sabbaticals Committee begin
- Friday, December 2, 2005 – Recommendation of Sabbaticals Committee due in Provost’s office
- Tuesday, January 31, 2006 – Provost’s announcement of awards of sabbaticals
CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION OF PROPOSALS

As stated in the Faculty Manual, the primary criteria are the probable value of the sabbatical leave experience in increasing the professional competence of the faculty member and its value to the academic programs in which the applicant participates. Other factors being equal, cost effectiveness, the applicant’s professional record, and the results of previous sabbatical leaves, if any, will also be considered. The sabbatical committee welcomes proposals aimed at both scholarship and development and will rank proposals separately in each category.

ELIGIBILITY

Faculty who are tenured and have completed six years of teaching at The Citadel are eligible. Faculty who have received a sabbatical in the past must complete at least seven years of further service before they are eligible for another award.

Any member of the faculty who has served in an administrative position at the college for at least 5 consecutive years and then returns to full-time teaching is eligible for a sabbatical leave of one semester at full pay. Any member of the faculty who has served in an administrative position at the college for at least 10 consecutive years and then returns to full-time teaching is eligible for a sabbatical leave of one full year at full pay. The sabbatical leave awarded under these circumstances will be treated as a normal sabbatical with respect to eligibility for future leaves. However such applications should go directly from the Dean to the Provost. Sabbaticals awarded following administrative service will not count against the number allocated for faculty.

PREPARATION OF THE APPLICATION

Complete the electronic applications form available from The Sabbaticals Committee Web page: [http://citadel.edu/fcommittees/Sabbatical/Sabbatical.html](http://citadel.edu/fcommittees/Sabbatical/Sabbatical.html). The electronic form allows the applicant to include as much text as needed.

An applicant should download the form to his or her computer hard drive, complete it, change the file name to include the applicant’s name, and send the completed form as an email attachment to sabbaticals@citadel.edu. In addition, two print copies should be submitted to Daniel Library.

The form addresses the following points:
- Whether the proposal is primarily for research or development. Although professional development invariably entails research of some form, applicants must select the category that best reflects the goals of the proposal.
- The duration of the proposed sabbatical leave and the preferred dates. If requesting a full year leave, the applicant must indicate whether he or she would accept a single semester and when they would prefer to take it.
- The length of Citadel employment.
- Any previous Citadel sabbatical leaves received and a brief description of the outcome.
- The URL for a current *curriculum vitae*.
The proposal itself should address the following points:
- The objectives which the applicant plans to achieve during or as a result of the proposed sabbatical leave.
- The plan whereby the applicant intends to achieve her or his objectives.
- The expected results of the sabbatical leave, both for the individual and for the institution.
- Any preparation made for the proposed sabbatical leave. A narrative of actions is appropriate, and lengthy documentation, if necessary, can be attached as an appendix.
- Any additional financial support required for achieving the objectives of the sabbatical leave. The source from which this funding will be sought should be indicated, along with an estimate of the probability of success in obtaining the funds. Finally, the applicant must indicate to what extent it will be possible to complete the goals of the sabbatical if such additional funding does not materialize. If there is an alternative plan, this would be the place to describe it.
- If the applicant has received previous sabbatical leave(s), a copy of the successful proposal(s) and of the concluding report(s) must also be included.

Departmental Support
Department Heads/Deans will review sabbatical applications submitted by faculty members from their departments and provide a statement to be included in the assigned space on the electronic application form.

This statement will address the following topics:
- To what extent, if any, will the objectives of the proposal contribute to the professional development of the faculty member and to the objectives and needs of the department or school? What are the detailed plans of the department or school to adjust to the absence of the faculty member if leave is granted? What will expenses be? In most situations, the expectation is that colleagues will assume the teaching, advising, and committee responsibilities for the recipient. When the sabbatical is for a full year at full pay, funds will be available to hire adjunct faculty. Nevertheless, the department head/dean must indicate plans for covering a single-semester as well as a full-year sabbatical leave, if the applicant requests the latter.
- If there is more than one proposal from the department or school, the department head/dean must rank order them in terms of potential benefit to the applicants and to the department or school. The Committee will not consider proposals by applicants whose department head/dean refuses to rank order the proposals.
THE COLLEGE REVIEW PROCESS
Upon receipt of the sabbatical leave applications, the members of the Sabbaticals Committee will review the applications. A time will be scheduled for each applicant to meet with the Committee to discuss his or her proposal.

- After all meetings have taken place, each member of the Committee will evaluate the strengths and weaknesses of each proposal and indicate whether they merit funding. For those proposals that meet these criteria, members will rank order the research and development proposals separately. Finally, members will recommend the top two proposals for full-year grants, contingent on funding from The Citadel Foundation.

- The Committee chair will tally the rankings and present them to the members of the Committee for further discussion and for final approval. When the Committee has concluded its work, the chair will report the Committee’s final recommendations to the Provost.

- The Committee anticipates that it will recommend equal numbers of half-year sabbaticals to research and development proposals. This allocation may be adjusted by vote of the committee depending on the relative number and quality of proposals in each category.

- The Provost will base the final decision on the criteria used by the Faculty Sabbaticals Committee as well as on the impact of the proposed leave upon college and departmental operations and resources. The Provost will consult with the Deans in making this decision.

CONDITIONS OF AWARDS
- Applicants awarded leaves are expected to return to the college for at least one year after the leave;

- Recipients of sabbaticals are expected to make a presentation at the Sabbaticals Committee Round-Table Symposium the fall semester following the sabbatical.

- A complete report which addresses sabbatical activities and objectives will be provided to the department head/dean, who will evaluate the effectiveness of the sabbatical leave. Both reports will then be forwarded through the Faculty Sabbaticals Committee to the Provost no later than forty-five days after the faculty member returns to teaching duties. Failure to submit a report may result in loss of eligibility for future sabbaticals;

- If an applicant receives a sabbatical leave that depends upon additional funding which fails to materialize, the individual will report the problem through the department head/dean to the Provost, who will review the situation, including the applicant’s alternate plan for addressing the objectives of the sabbatical, and will decide whether or not to permit the sabbatical;

- If a faculty member declines a leave or if the college withdraws the leave due to financial considerations, the faculty member does not lose eligibility for further awards of sabbatical leaves and may re-apply, without prejudice, the next year.
Committee Members

Questions about the electronic form or sabbaticals policies and procedures may be addressed to the departmental representative or any member of the Sabbaticals Committee.

Sabbaticals Committee members, 2005-2006:

Biology ....................................................John Weistein
Business Administration ..........................Dorothy Moore
Chemistry ..............................................Carey Rushing
Civil and Environmental Engineering ....Mike Woo
Education ..............................................Linda Elksnin
Electrical Engineering .............................Mark McKinney
English ...............................................Philip Leon
Health, Exercise and Sports Science.......Gary Wilson
History .................................................Kyle Sinisi
Library ..................................................David Heisser
Mathematics and Computer Science......William Denig
Modern Languages .................................Katya Skow-Obenaus
Physics ................................................Joel Berlinghieri
Political Science and Criminal Justice....Robert Steed
Psychology ..........................................Mike Politano